

# Contents

<b>Title page</b>	i
<b>Declaration</b>	ii
<b>Certificate of Approval</b>	iii
<b>Certificate by the Supervisors</b>	iv
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	v
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	vii
<b>List of Figures</b>	ix
<b>List of Tables</b>	xiii
<b>Abstract</b>	xv
<b>Contents</b>	xvii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1-6</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	1
1.2.1 Topography and hydrological modeling	2
1.2.2 LULC and hydrological modeling	3
1.3 Objective	4
1.4 Organization of thesis	5
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>7-28</b>
2.1 DEM Quality and hydrological modeling	7
2.1.1 DEM interpolation techniques	7
2.1.2 DEM grid cell size	9
2.1.3 DEM preprocessing	11
2.1.4 DEM error modeling and propagation	15
2.1.5 Accuracy issue of SRTM DEM	18
2.2 LULC and hydrological modeling	20
2.2.1 LULC change modeling	21
2.2.2 Spatial pattern of LULC and hydrological modeling	23
2.2.3 Hydrological model for LULC impact analysis	26
2.3 Research gap in earlier studies	28
<b>3 Theoretical Considerations</b>	<b>29 - 54</b>
3.1 Interpolation algorithms	29
3.1.1 Inverse distance weighing (IDW)	29
3.1.2 Ordinary kriging (OK)	30
3.1.3 Thin plate spline (TPS)	30
3.1.4 Triangulated irregular network with linear interpolation (TIN)	31
3.1.5 TOPOGRID (TOPO)	31
3.2 DEM preprocessing algorithms	32
3.2.1 Sink filling methods	32
3.2.1.1 Jenson and Domingue method (JD)	32
3.2.1.2 Planchon and Darboux method (PD)	33
3.2.1.3 Impact reduction approach (IRA)	34

3.2.2	Drainage enforcement algorithms	35
3.2.2.1	ANUDEM	35
3.2.2.2	AGREE	36
3.3.	Terrain indices	36
3.3.1	Topographic Wetness Index (TWI)	36
3.3.2	Length-Slope factor (LS factor)	37
3.3.3	Stream Power Index	37
3.3.4	Geometry Number (GN)	38
3.3.5	Topographic Position index (TPI)	38
3.4	Bootstrapping	39
3.5	Principal Component Analysis	40
3.6	Monte Carlo Simulation and Latin Hypercube Sampling	40
3.7	Markov chain cellular automata model	42
3.7.1	Markov Chain	42
3.7.2	Cellular Automata (CA)	43
3.8	Neutral landscape model (NLM)	45
3.9	Soil and water assessment tool (SWAT)	46
3.9.1	SCS curve number method	47
3.9.2	MUSLE	48
3.10	Statistical evaluation	49
3.10.1	Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ )	49
3.10.2	Mean error and allied statistics	50
3.10.3	Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency	51
3.10.4	Percent bias (PBIAS)	51
3.10.5	Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S test)	52
3.10.6	Akaike's information criterion (AIC)	52
3.10.7	Kappa coefficient ( $K_{\text{hat}}$ )	53
3.10.8	Moran I	53
3.10.9	Fractal dimension	54
<b>4</b>	<b>Material and Methods</b>	<b>55-78</b>
4.1	Study area	55
4.2	Data collection	56
4.2.1	Topography	57
4.2.2	Land use and land cover (LULC)	57
4.2.3	Soil	58
4.2.4	Hydro-meteorological data	59
4.3	Data preparation	61
4.3.1	Extraction of spatial data	61
4.3.2	Preparation of cartographic DEM	62
4.4	Evaluation of DEM accuracy	62
4.4.1	Vertical accuracy	62
4.4.2	Basin morphology accuracy	63
4.4.3	Interpolation artifact	64
4.4.4	Spatial variability and information content	65
4.5	Evaluation of DEM preprocessing algorithms	66
4.6	Evaluation of SRTM DEM	67

4.7	Modeling DEM error and its propagation	68
4.7.1	Modeling DEM error	68
4.7.2	DEM uncertainty propagation	70
4.8	LULC dynamics and future LULC scenarios	73
4.8.1	Preparation of LULC map	73
4.8.2	Prediction of future LULC scenarios	74
4.9	Hydrological modeling	75
4.9.1	Model setup	75
4.9.2	Model calibration and validation	76
4.9.3	Scenarios simulation	76
4.10	Development and application of connectivity indices	77
4.10.1	Generation of neutral landscape model	77
4.10.2	Development of connectivity Indices	77
4.10.3	Application of connectivity indices	78
<b>5</b>	<b>Result and Discussions</b>	<b>79-168</b>
5.1	Evaluation of interpolation techniques	79
5.1.1	Vertical accuracy	79
5.1.2	Basin morphology accuracy	83
5.1.3	Interpolation artifact	87
5.1.3.1	Visual analysis	87
5.1.3.2	Sink analysis	90
5.1.4	Information Content	93
5.2	Selection of DEM cell size	94
5.2.1	Sink density	95
5.2.2	Information content	95
5.2.3	Fractal Dimension	97
5.2.4	Variogram analysis	98
5.3	Effect of hydrological preprocessing	100
5.3.1	Geomorphic accuracy	101
5.3.2	Statistical comparison terrain modification	104
5.3.3	Effect on soil erosion potential	111
5.4	Evaluation of SRTM DEM	112
5.4.1	Elevation Error	112
5.4.1.1	Vertical accuracy	112
5.4.1.2	Error distribution	114
5.4.1.3	Terrain dependency of error	116
5.4.2	Comparison of geomorphic feature	118
5.4.3	Comparison of hydrological indices	119
5.4.4	Comparison of soil erosion modeling	121
5.5	Modeling DEM Error Distribution	123
5.5.1	Distribution of point elevation error	123
5.5.2	Selection of terrain attributes	125
5.5.3	Principal component analysis	126
5.5.4	Development of regression model	128
5.5.5	Kriging of elevation error residual	130
5.5.6	Development and evaluation of error surface	131

---

5.6	Propagation of DEM error	134
5.7	LULC change and catchment response	140
5.7.1	LULC dynamics and development of LULC scenarios	140
5.7.2	ArcSWAT model Setup	145
5.7.3	Model calibration and validation	146
5.7.4	Predicting current catchment response	148
5.7.5	Predicting future catchment response	151
5.7.5.1	Change in runoff	152
5.7.5.2	Changes in sediment yield	154
5.7.6	Spatial pattern of LULC and catchment response	158
5.7.6.1	Development of connectivity indices	158
5.7.6.2	Hydrological simulation for NLMs	160
5.7.6.3	Application of NLMs	164
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions</b>	<b>169-180</b>
6.1	Summary	169
6.2	Conclusions	177
6.3	Research contributions	178
6.4	Limitations and future scope	179
<b>References</b>		<b>181-196</b>
<b>Appendix</b>		<b>197-206</b>
<b>Brief Curriculum Vitae</b>		<b>207</b>

---