

Contents

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Abstract | xiii |
| List of Symbols/Abbreviations | xv |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Static and Dynamic Task Graphs | 3 |
| 1.3 Motivation | 4 |
| 1.4 Contributions of this work | 6 |
| 1.4.1 Hybrid Scheduling of Dynamic Task Graphs with Limited Duplication | 7 |
| 1.4.2 Online Scheduling of Dynamic Task graphs with Communication and Contention | 8 |
| 1.4.3 Slack Distribution in Dynamic Task Graph Schedules for Power Reduction | 9 |
| 1.5 Thesis organization | 10 |
| 2 Literature Survey | 11 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 11 |
| 2.2 Multiprocessor System on Chip Architectures | 12 |
| 2.3 Taxonomy of the scheduling problems | 14 |
| 2.4 Scheduling to Minimize Makespan | 16 |
| 2.4.1 Static Task Graph Models | 16 |
| 2.4.1.1 A Few Important Classical Methods | 17 |
| 2.4.1.2 Basics of List scheduling Algorithms | 17 |
| 2.4.1.3 Important List Scheduling Based Heuristics | 18 |
| 2.4.1.4 Task Duplication | 21 |
| 2.4.1.5 Clustering Based Heuristics | 21 |
| 2.4.1.6 Communication Structure and Contention | 23 |
| 2.4.1.7 Code Memory Constraints | 24 |
| 2.4.2 Dynamic Task Graph Models | 25 |
| 2.4.2.1 Conditional Task Graphs | 25 |
| 2.4.2.2 Tasks with Unpredictable Execution behavior | 26 |
| 2.4.3 Online Scheduling | 30 |
| 2.4.4 Miscellaneous | 31 |
| 2.5 Scheduling to Optimize Power Consumption | 31 |
| 2.5.1 Introduction of DVS | 31 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.5.2 | Energy Model | 32 |
| 2.5.3 | Static Power Management | 32 |
| 2.5.3.1 | The Relaxed Deadline Problem | 32 |
| 2.5.3.2 | The Task Stretching Problem | 33 |
| 2.5.3.3 | Mapping and Scheduling Methods | 35 |
| 2.5.4 | Dynamic Power Management | 36 |
| 2.5.4.1 | Path Based Algorithms | 36 |
| 2.5.4.2 | Greedy Algorithms | 37 |
| 2.5.4.3 | Other Approaches | 38 |
| 2.5.5 | Miscellaneous | 39 |
| 2.6 | Conclusion | 40 |
| 3 | Hybrid Scheduling of Dynamic Task Graphs with Limited Duplication | 43 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 43 |
| 3.2 | Motivational Example | 45 |
| 3.3 | Models, Notations and Definitions | 48 |
| 3.3.1 | Task Graph Model | 48 |
| 3.3.2 | Processor model | 49 |
| 3.3.3 | Derived Task graph Notations | 49 |
| 3.3.4 | Static Scheduling Notations | 50 |
| 3.3.5 | Online Scheduling Notations | 51 |
| 3.4 | Proposed Three Phase Strategy | 51 |
| 3.4.1 | Task Mapping | 52 |
| 3.4.1.1 | Phase-I: Initial Task Mapping | 52 |
| 3.4.1.2 | Phase-II: Selective Code Duplication | 54 |
| 3.4.2 | Phase-III : Online Scheduler | 61 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Online scheduler without deadline guarantee | 61 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Online Scheduling with hard deadline | 62 |
| 3.4.3 | Complexity and Overhead | 64 |
| 3.4.3.1 | Selective code duplication | 64 |
| 3.4.3.2 | Online Scheduler | 65 |
| 3.5 | Experimental results | 67 |
| 3.5.1 | Conditional task graph with nodes of fixed execution time | 67 |
| 3.5.1.1 | Setup | 67 |
| 3.5.1.2 | Experiments | 67 |
| 3.5.1.3 | Results | 68 |
| 3.5.2 | Unconditional task graph with unpredictable execution time | 71 |
| 3.5.2.1 | Setup | 72 |
| 3.5.2.2 | Experiments | 72 |
| 3.5.2.3 | Results | 72 |
| 3.5.3 | Combined Results | 73 |
| 3.5.4 | Computation time and Overhead Results | 74 |
| 3.6 | Summary | 76 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4 | Online Scheduling of Dynamic Tasks with Communication and Contention | 79 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 79 |
| 4.2 | Motivational Example | 81 |
| 4.3 | Models, Notations and Definitions | 84 |
| 4.3.1 | Task Graph Model | 84 |
| 4.3.2 | Processor model | 85 |
| 4.3.3 | Scheduling Notations | 85 |
| 4.4 | Proposed Online Scheduling | 86 |
| 4.4.1 | Global Scheduler Modeling | 86 |
| 4.4.2 | Scheduling under broadcast model | 87 |
| 4.4.3 | Scheduling under point-to-point model | 87 |
| 4.4.4 | Illustration of the Algorithm using an example | 90 |
| 4.4.5 | Complexity and Overhead | 92 |
| 4.5 | Experimental results | 94 |
| 4.5.1 | Random Conditional task graphs | 94 |
| 4.5.1.1 | Setup | 94 |
| 4.5.1.2 | Experiments | 95 |
| 4.5.1.3 | Results | 95 |
| 4.5.2 | Random Unconditional variable execution task graphs | 98 |
| 4.5.2.1 | Setup | 98 |
| 4.5.2.2 | Experiments | 99 |
| 4.5.2.3 | Results | 99 |
| 4.5.3 | Experiments on Benchmark task graphs | 100 |
| 4.5.4 | Computation time and Overhead Results | 102 |
| 4.6 | Summary | 103 |
| 5 | Slack Distribution in Dynamic Task Graph Schedules for Power Reduction | 105 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 105 |
| 5.2 | Motivational Example | 108 |
| 5.3 | System model | 111 |
| 5.4 | Proposed DVS Strategy | 113 |
| 5.4.1 | Offline Analysis | 114 |
| 5.4.1.1 | Series combination | 114 |
| 5.4.1.2 | Parallel combination | 116 |
| 5.4.2 | Future Workload and Future Slack Computation | 120 |
| 5.4.3 | Illustration with an Example | 121 |
| 5.4.4 | Online Strategy | 122 |
| 5.4.5 | Complexity | 123 |
| 5.5 | Experimental Results | 123 |
| 5.5.1 | Setup | 123 |
| 5.5.2 | Experiments | 124 |
| 5.5.3 | Results | 124 |
| 5.5.3.1 | Equally Dynamic Tasks | 124 |
| 5.5.3.2 | Variable number of dynamic tasks | 125 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.5.3.3 | Effect of conditions and number of processors | 125 |
| 5.5.3.4 | Benchmark Applications | 129 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 129 |
| 6 | Conclusions and Future Work | 131 |
| 6.1 | Contributions of this work | 131 |
| 6.2 | Work in Progress | 133 |
| 6.2.1 | Restricted Online Scheduling with Memory and Time Constraints | 133 |
| 6.2.2 | Intra-DVS with expected energy minimization | 134 |
| 6.3 | Future Directions | 134 |
| 6.3.1 | Heterogeneous Multiprocessor Models | 135 |
| 6.3.2 | Data Memory Constraints | 135 |
| 6.3.3 | Data communication within task execution | 136 |
| 6.3.4 | DVS with ABB | 136 |
| | List of Publication/Communications | 139 |
| | References | 139 |
| A | Random Task Graph Generation | 153 |
| A.1 | Random Unconditional DAG Generation | 153 |
| A.2 | Random Conditional Task Graph Generation | 154 |