

List of Symbols

Symbols	Name	Units
$\mu_0 H$	Magnetic flux density	Tesla
H	Magnetic field strength	Oersted
ΔS_M	Isothermal entropy change	J/Kg.K
ΔT_{ad}	Adiabatic temperature change	Kelvin
$C_{P,H}$	Heat capacity at const. pressure and magnetic field	J/Kg.K
2θ	X-Ray diffraction angle	Degree ($^\circ$)
θ_D	Debye temperature	Kelvin (K)
ρ	Resistivity	Ω .cm
ρ_0	Resistivity without magnetic field	Ω .cm
ρ_H	Resistivity under magnetic field	Ω .cm
ρ_{PI}	Resistivity in paramagnetic insulating state	Ω .cm
ρ_{FM}	Resistivity in ferromagnetic metallic state	Ω .cm
χ	Magnetic susceptibility	dimensionless
S_{abs}	Absolute thermoelectric power	μ V/K
S_{PI}	Thermopower in paramagnetic insulating state	μ V/K
S_{FM}	Thermopower in ferromagnetic metallic state	μ V/K
T_C	Curie temperature	Kelvin (K)
T_{MI}	Metal-insulator transition temperature	Kelvin (K)
g	Lande factor	dimensionless
M	Magnetization	emu/gm
M_0	Saturation magnetization	emu/gm
MR	Magnetoresistance	Relative
CMR	Colossal magnetoresistance	Relative
$LFMR$	Low field magnetoresistance	Relative
RCP	Relative cooling power	J/cm ³

Physical constants

Symbol	Quantity	Value	Units
e	Electronic charge	1.60218×10^{-19}	Coulomb
K_B	Boltzmann constant	1.3807×10^{-23}	J/K
μ_0	Vacuum Permeability	$4\pi \times 10^{-7}$	J/Tesla
μ_B	Bohr magneton	9.274×10^{-24}	J/Tesla

Glossary of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
a.u.	Arbitrary Units
FWHM	Full Width at Half Maximum
XRD	X-ray diffraction
K	Potassium
T	Temperature

List of Figures

Page

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1 The perovskite structure with *A*-ion at the center of cube, *B*-ions at the corners and Oxygen ions is between them. 2

Figure 1.2 Crystal structure of Rare-earth manganite perovskites (AMnO_3 : *A* is the rare earth ion as La, Pr, Nd etc. 3

Figure 1.3 (a) Electronic energy levels of Mn^{3+} ion in manganite compound. In crystal field the Mn 3d level's degeneracy is lifted partially to form a doublet e_g state and a triplet t_{2g} state, **(b)** The 3d orbital of Mn ion in the octahedral site showing the orientation of e_g and t_{2g} state. Black dots represent the oxygen ions. 6

Figure 1.4 Possible magnetic structures of perovskite manganites. The circles represent manganese ions and the arrow indicates the direction of spin along z-axis. 7

Figure 1.5 Typical phase diagrams of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ respectively. 7

Figure 1.6 Generation of **(a)** antiferromagnetic or **(b)** ferromagnetic effective interactions between the spins of manganese ions mediated by oxygen, depending on the orientation of Mn orbitals. 8

Figure 1.7 A typical resistivity vs. temperature curve of single crystalline $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin film in zero magnetic field. 9

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 Cryostat for electrical resistivity measurement between 10 and 300K under an external field up to 0.8Tesla. Vacuum shroud and radiation shields are not shown. 38

Figure 2.2 Electrical schematic for the resistivity measurement by dc technique between 10 and 300K. 38

Figure 2.3 (a) Schematic of the cryostat used for the measurement of ac susceptibility between 77 and 300K and **(b)** high temperature system (300K to 450K) 43

Figure 2.4 Electrical schematic of the ac susceptibility measurement. 44

Figure 2.5 (a) Schematic for cryostat arrangement and **(b)** electrical schematic used for the measurement of thermoelectric power between 50 and 300K. 48

Figure 2.6 Schematic diagram of the VSM used for magnetization measurement of manganites between **(a)** 77 and 300K and **(b)** 300 to 500K under magnetic field of 1 Tesla. 53

Figure 2.7 (a) Saddle point or optimum sample displacement, **(b)** $M(H)$ curve of Nickel showing saturation magnetization at room temperature. 62

Figure 2.8 (a) M(H) curve of Nickel with different mass, **(b)** variation of output signal with mass of Nickel at a field of 4KGauss (points) and line shows the linearity between the two, **(c)** reproducibility of M(H) curves obtained in our VSM for Nickel pellets. 63

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1 Reactions governing the 'Pyrophoric' route synthesis procedure. 73

Figure 3.2 Flow chart for the preparation of monovalent (K) doped lanthanum manganites by 'Pyrophoric technique'. 73

Figure 3.3 Experimental (points) and refined (blue lines) XRD patterns for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds. Vertical red lines indicate the positions of allowed Bragg reflections. The pink line curves at the bottom gives the difference between observed and calculated one. 77

Figure 3.4 SEM micrograph of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds for compositions **(a)** $x=0.05$, **(b)** $x=0.10$ and **(c)** $x=0.15$. 79

Figure 3.5 AC susceptibility and estimation of Curie temperature of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system. 84

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1 (a) Temperature dependence of electrical resistivity under zero (open symbol) and 0.8Tesla field (filled symbol), **(b)** Temperature dependence of MR at $\mu_0H=0.8\text{Tesla}$ of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system. 91

Figure 4.2 Schematic motion of a self-trapped electron that originates a lattice polaron. 96

Figure 4.3 (a) Slopes used to estimate Debye temperature (θ_D) from resistivity data, **(b)**-**(c)** best fit of the experimental data of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ samples with adiabatic small polaron model at zero and 0.8Tesla field respectively at $T>T_{MI}$, **(d)** best fit with non-adiabatic model at zero (open symbol) and 0.8Tesla (filled symbol) field respectively, **(e)** typical example of the relative deviation between the data and the fitted curves obtained respectively for adiabatic (\blacktriangle) and non-adiabatic (Δ) hopping model in the insulating region of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.10$) pellets. 98

Figure 4.4 (a) Single magnon process involving spin flip, **(b)** two amgnon process. 105

Figure 4.5 (a)-(b) Fitting with equation (4.15a), **(c)** deviation below $\sim 100\text{K}$ for $x=0.05$ sample using equation (4.15a), **(d)-(e)** fitting with equation (4.15b). 111

Figure 4.6 Low temperature minima (open symbols) in resistivity of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets below $\sim 50\text{K}$ and its field dependence at $\mu_0H=0.8\text{Tesla}$ (filled symbols). 114

Figure 4.7 Solid lines are low temperature resistivity minima calculated by **(a)** Coulomb blockade model, **(b)** electron-electron interaction model, **(c)** intergrain tunneling model. Points are the experimental data. 121

Figure 4.8 Solid lines are the resistivity of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system calculated using two phase model (equation 4.19e) corresponding to the parameters indicated in table 4.7, **(a)** for $\mu_0H=0$ and **(b)** for $\mu_0H=0.8\text{Tesla}$. Points are the experimental data. 125

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1 Temperature dependence of absolute TEP of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10$ & 0.15) polycrystalline samples in zero field between 50 and 300K. 135

Figure 5.2 Fit to the TEP data of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10$ & 0.15) samples for $T > T_C$ according to Mott's equation 5.4. 141

Figure 5.3 (a) Temperature dependence of the TEP of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10$ & 0.15) pellets in the ferromagnetic region ($T < T_C$). The symbols represent the experimental data and the solid lines represent the best fit with the expression (5.7) as discussed in the text, **(b)** The scaling behavior for the TEP data obtained after subtracting the T-independent part (S_0) from $S(T)$, the TEP data have been scaled as S to S'/S_p and T to T/T_C . (S'/S_p) is maximum at $T/T_C = 0.56$. 146

Figure 5.4 Two phase analysis of resistivity and thermopower. **(a)** The Mixing Factor, $C(H, T)$ extracted from the resistivity data of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10$ & 0.15) using effective medium approach and assuming elongated conducting regions with the ratio $a/b = 25$ for zero field, **(b)** TEP data (symbols) and the computed results (solid lines) using measured resistivity and effective medium approximation as described in the text (equation 5.11b). 151

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1 Resistivity and magnetoresistance of single-crystalline thin-film of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ in zero field and an applied field of 5 Tesla, adopted from Hundley et al, 1995. 157

Figure 6.2 (a) Temperature (50 to 300K), **(b)-(d)** field dependence of magnetoresistance of polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system ($x = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$) under study. 159

Figure 6.3 (a)-(e) Field dependent magnetoresistance behavior in light of magnetic cluster model (equations 6.4a and 6.4b) in both paramagnetic and ferromagnetic regions of polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$) system under study. Points are the experimental data; lines are the best fit to equations (6.4a) and (6.4b). 164

Figure 6.4 A typical MR dependence on average spin moment $J(T)$ for the sample $x = 0.05$ at different magnetic fields at $T = 50\text{K}$ and $T = 270\text{K}$. 166

Figure 6.5 (a), (c), (e) Field dependent MR of polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$) system. Points are the experimental data; lines correspond to the values calculated with the tunneling model. **(b), (d), (f)** Points are the derivative of experimental MR curves and lines are that calculated with equation (6.7). 170

Figure 6.6 (a)-(c) Behavior of intrinsic and extrinsic part of MR with temperature in the low temperature ferromagnetic region of polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$) system obtained from the tunneling model, **(d)** Best fit of spin polarized part of MR corresponding to $a + b/(c + T)$. 172

Figure 6.7 Deviation plots of MR of polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system for **(a)** $x = 0.05$, **(b)** $x = 0.10$ and **(c)** $x = 0.15$ sample, open symbol represents magnetic cluster model; filled symbol corresponds to that of intergrain tunneling model. 173-174

Chapter 7

- Figure 7.1** The S - T diagram illustrating the magnetocaloric effect. 181
- Figure 7.2** Schematic illustration of the temperature dependence of magnetization and the corresponding magnetocaloric effect in a ferromagnetic material. 184
- Figure 7.3 (a)-(b)** The isothermal magnetization curves measured at different temperatures for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$ & 0.10), **(c)** the temperature dependence of magnetization measured at different magnetic fields for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.15$) sample. 185
- Figure 7.4 (a)-(c)** Magnetic entropy change (ΔS_M) as a function of temperature in various magnetic fields for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$, 0.10 & 0.15) compounds. Lines are guide to the eyes. 186
- Figure 7.5 (a)-(c)** Adiabatic temperature change (ΔT_{ad}) estimated for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$, 0.10 & 0.15) compounds under different magnetic fields. 189
- Figure 7.6 (a)-(c)** Typical plot showing $\Delta S_M^{max} / \Delta S_M$ vs. T at 1Tesla magnetic field for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$, 0.10 & 0.15) compounds. 190
- Figure 7.7 (a)** Field dependence of ΔS_M^{max} for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ sample, **(b)** Relative cooling power (RCP) and the coefficient ' q ' as a function of K concentration, **(c)** ΔS_M vs. T for a magnetic field change from 0 to 1T for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$, 0.10 & 0.15) compounds. 194
- Figure 7.8 (a)** A typical temperature dependence of specific magnetization in zero field and some specified magnetic field calculated by mean field model (equations 7.18-7.20) for $x=0.05$ sample, **(b)** Magnetic entropy change (ΔS_M) for a typical $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ sample with $x=0.05$. Points are the measured values and the solid lines represent ΔS_M calculated from mean field model. 196
- Figure 7.9** Magnetic entropy change (ΔS_M) for a typical $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ sample with $x=0.05$. Points are the measured values and the solid lines represent ΔS_M calculated from mean field model (equation 7.24). 198
- Figure 7.10 (a)-(c)** M^2 vs. H/M (Arrott) plot for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system under study. 201
- Figure 7.11 (a)** Parameter (A) as a function of temperature for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system, **(b)** Temperature dependence of (B) parameter (typical curve for $x=0.05$ sample). 201
- Figure 7.12 (a)-(c)** Magnetic entropy change (ΔS_M) for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($x=0.05$, 0.10 & 0.15) samples. Points are the measured values and the solid lines represent ΔS_M calculated from Landau theory. 202

List of Tables

	Page
Chapter 3	
Table 3.1. Results of Rietveld analysis & structural parameters of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system	78
Table 3.2. Parameters of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds	80
Chapter 4	
Table 4.1. Parameters of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds	91
Table 4.2. Some of the important parameters estimated from resistivity data for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets.	99
Table 4.3. Best fit parameters obtained from the resistivity data ($T > T_{MI}$) of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets in terms of adiabatic and non-adiabatic hopping model	99
Table 4.4. Best fit parameters obtained from the resistivity data ($T < T_{MI}$) of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets in terms of different scattering models	112
Table 4.5. Best fit parameters obtained from the resistivity data ($T < T_{MI}$) of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets combining soft phonon concept and different scattering models corresponding to equation (4.15b)	112
Table 4.6. Best fit parameters obtained for different low temperature resistivity minimum models of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ pellets below 50K	122
Table 4.7. Best fit parameters obtained for $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system using two phase model in the temperature range between 50 to $\sim 300\text{K}$	126
Chapter 5	
Table 5.1. Best fit parameters of high Temperature TEP data (above T_C) of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds using Mott's equation (5.4)	141
Table 5.2. Best fit parameters used to fit Low Temperature TEP data below T_C of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds	146
Chapter 6	
Table 6.1. Some of the parameters and MR values of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ system	160
Table 6.2. Best fit parameters of MR-analysis by spin dependent hopping model	165
Table 6.3. The values of intrinsic and spin polarized part of MR obtained with the intergrain tunneling model (equation 6.5)	171

Table 6.4. Fitting parameters of spin polarized part of MR corresponding to $a+b/(c+T)$ 171

Chapter 7

Table 7.1. Summary of the magnetocaloric properties obtained for the $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds with a field change of 1 Tesla. 190

Table 7.2. A relative comparison of the present results of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{MnO}_3$ compounds with some of the divalent and monovalent doped manganites as well as with Gd. 193

Copyright
IIT Kharagpur