

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page No.
Figure 1.1	Process flowsheet of a typical amine absorber/regenerator unit for acid gas removal.	18
Figure 2.1	Liquid – phase mass transfer using film theory.	35
Figure 2.2	Liquid – phase mass transfer for absorption with chemical reaction.	39
Figure 2.3	Concentration profiles for absorption with chemical reaction.	40
Figure 2.4	Concentration profiles of A and B for infinitely slow reaction regime.	43
Figure 2.5	Concentration profiles of A and B for slow reaction regime.	44
Figure 2.6	Concentration profiles of A and B for fast reaction regime.	47
Figure 2.7	Concentration profiles of A and B for instantaneous reaction regime.	48
Figure 3.1	Experimental set-up for solubility measurement.	76
Figure 3.2	Density of (PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	77
Figure 3.3	Density of (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	77
Figure 3.4	Density of (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	78
Figure 3.5	Viscosity of (PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	78
Figure 3.6	Viscosity of (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	79
Figure 3.7	Viscosity of (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	79
Figure 3.8	Henry's constant of CO ₂ in water as a function of temperature.	80
Figure 3.9	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in water as a function of temperature.	80
Figure 3.10a	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	81
Figure 3.10b	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	81
Figure 3.11a	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	82
Figure 3.11b	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	82
Figure 3.12a	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	83
Figure 3.12b	Henry's constant of N ₂ O in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	83
Figure 3.13	Diffusivity of CO ₂ in water as a function of temperature.	84
Figure 3.14	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in water as a function of temperature.	84

Figure 3.15a	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	85
Figure 3.15b	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	85
Figure 3.16a	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	86
Figure 3.16b	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	86
Figure 3.17a	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) at various temperatures.	87
Figure 3.17b	Diffusivity of N ₂ O in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) as function of mass % PZ.	87
Figure 4.1	Structures of PZ compounds in aqueous solution of PZ and CO ₂ .	137
Figure 4.2	Wetted wall contactor experimental set-up for absorption rate measurement.	138
Figure 4.2a	Sectional view of the wetted wall contactor.	139
Figure 4.3	Effect of gas flow rate on specific rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solution.	140
Figure 4.4	Parity Plot of model predicted rates and experimental rates of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ.	140
Figure 4.5	Temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant, k ₂₁ .	141
Figure 4.6	Temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant, k ₂₂ .	141
Figure 4.7	Straight line fit for rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solutions at low CO ₂ partial pressures: T = 298 K.	142
Figure 4.8	Straight line fit for rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solutions at low CO ₂ partial pressures: T = 303 K.	142
Figure 4.9	Straight line fit for rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solutions at low CO ₂ partial pressures: T = 313 K.	143
Figure 4.10	Effect of PZ concentration on the enhancement factor for absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solution: T = 313 K.	143
Figure 4.11	Effect of PZ concentration on rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solution: T = 313 K.	144
Figure 4.12	Effect of temperature on the rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solution.	144
Figure 4.13	Calculated concentration profiles of species in the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface at the end of the absorption length.	145
Figure 4.14	Predicted enhancement factor for absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ solution as a function of CO ₂ interfacial concentration.	146
Figure 4.15	The effect of errors in Henry's Law constant on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	146

Figure 4.16	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficient of CO ₂ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	147
Figure 4.17	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficients of all liquid phase chemical species on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	147
Figure 4.18	The effect of errors in k_{21} (equation (4.10)) on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	148
Figure 4.19	The effect of errors in k_{22} (equation (4.11)) on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	148
Figure 4.20	The effect of errors in k_{23} (equation (4.12)) on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous PZ solution.	149
Figure 5.1	Effect of molar ratio of PZ/MDEA on the enhancement of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (MDEA + PZ).	190
Figure 5.2	Effect of temperature on specific rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (MDEA + PZ).	190
Figure 5.3	Effect of partial pressure on specific rate of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (MDEA + PZ) at T= 313 K.	191
Figure 5.4	Effect of CO ₂ loading on the enhancement of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (MDEA + PZ) at T = 313 K.	191
Figure 5.5	Parity plot of model predicted rates and experimental rates of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (MDEA + PZ).	192
Figure 5.6	Calculated concentration profile of species in the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface at the end of the absorption length. [MDEA] = 2.1513 kmol m ⁻³ , [PZ] = 0.6 kmol m ⁻³ .	192
Figure 5.6a	Typical calculated concentration profile of CO ₂ in the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface at end of absorption length for PZ activated MDEA.	193
Figure 5.7	Arrhenius plot for reaction rate constant, k_{23} for CO ₂ – (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O).	193
Figure 5.8	Arrhenius plot for reaction rate constant, k_{25} for CO ₂ – (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O).	194
Figure 5.9	Effect of molar ratio of PZ/AMP on the enhancement of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (AMP + PZ).	195
Figure 5.10	Effect of temperature on specific rate of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (AMP + PZ).	195
Figure 5.11	Effect of partial pressure on specific rate of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (AMP + PZ) at T= 313 K.	196
Figure 5.12	Effect of CO ₂ loading on the enhancement of CO ₂ absorption into aqueous solution of (AMP + PZ) at T = 313 K.	196

Figure 5.13	Parity plot of model predicted rates and experimental rates of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (AMP + PZ).	197
Figure 5.14	Calculated concentration profile of species in the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface at the end of the absorption length: [AMP] = 2.81 kmol m ⁻³ , [PZ] = 0.58 kmol m ⁻³ .	197
Figure 5.14a	Typical calculated concentration profile of CO ₂ in the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface at end of absorption length for PZ activated AMP	198
Figure 5.15	Arrhenius plot for the reaction rate constant, k ₂₃ for CO ₂ – (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	199
Figure 5.16	Arrhenius plot for the reaction rate constant, k ₂₅ for CO ₂ – (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	199
Figure 5.17	Predicted enhancement factor for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) as a function of CO ₂ interfacial concentration.	200
Figure 5.18	The effect of errors in Henry's Law constant on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	200
Figure 5.19	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficient of CO ₂ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	201
Figure 5.20	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficients of all liquid phase chemical species on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	201
Figure 5.21	The effect of errors in k ₂₁ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	202
Figure 5.22	The effect of errors in k ₂₂ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	202
Figure 5.23	The effect of errors in k ₂₃ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	203
Figure 5.24	The effect of errors in k ₂₄ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	203
Figure 5.25	The effect of errors in k ₂₅ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	204
Figure 5.26	The effect of errors in k ₂₆ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (MDEA+ PZ) solution.	204
Figure 5.27	Predicted enhancement factor for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous (AMP + PZ) as a function of CO ₂ interfacial concentration.	205
Figure 5.28	The effect of errors in Henry's Law constant on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP + PZ) solution.	205

Figure 5.29	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficient of CO ₂ on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	206
Figure 5.30	The effect of errors in the diffusion coefficients of all chemical species on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	206
Figure 5.31	The effect of errors in k_{21} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	207
Figure 5.32	The effect of errors in k_{22} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	207
Figure 5.33	The effect of errors in k_{23} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	208
Figure 5.34	The effect of errors in k_{24} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	208
Figure 5.35	The effect of errors in k_{25} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	209
Figure 5.36	The effect of errors in k_{26} on the predicted rate of absorption of CO ₂ into an aqueous (AMP+ PZ) solution.	209
Figure 5.37	Relative performance of aqueous (MDEA + PZ) and aqueous (AMP + PZ) solvents for CO ₂ removal	210
Figure I.1	Flowchart for computer program to solve the diffusion – reaction equations	232

LIST OF TABLES

		Page No.
Table 1.1	Commercial CO ₂ scrubbing solvents used in gas treating industry.	19
Table 1.2	Structural formulas for some important amines in gas treating.	20
Table 2.1	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous MEA.	54
Table 2.2	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous DEA.	55
Table 2.3	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous DEA assuming zwitterion mechanism.	55
Table 2.4	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous TEA.	56
Table 2.5	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous MDEA.	56
Table 2.6	Literature data on the reaction between CO ₂ and aqueous AMP.	57
Table 2.7	Important features of model gas-liquid contactors.	58
Table 3.1a	Density and viscosity of aqueous piperazine.	88
Table 3.1b	Density and viscosity of aqueous piperazine.	89
Table 3.2a	Density and viscosity of (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O).	90
Table 3.2b	Density and viscosity of (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O).	91
Table 3.3a	Density and viscosity of (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	92
Table 3.3b	Density and viscosity of (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	93
Table 3.4	Parameters and AADs for density correlations for (PZ + H ₂ O), (PZ + MDEA + H ₂ O) and (PZ + AMP + H ₂ O).	94
Table 3.5	Parameters and AADs for viscosity correlations for (PZ + H ₂ O), (PZ + MDEA + H ₂ O) and (PZ + AMP + H ₂ O).	95
Table 3.6	Measured physical solubility of CO ₂ and N ₂ O in water.	96
Table 3.7	Estimated solubility of CO ₂ in aqueous solution of PZ using N ₂ O analogy.	97
Table 3.8	Estimated solubility of CO ₂ in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) solution using N ₂ O analogy.	98
Table 3.9	Estimated solubility of CO ₂ in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O) solution using N ₂ O analogy.	99
Table 3.10	Parameters and AADs for N ₂ O solubility correlations for the binary and ternary solvent systems.	100

Table 3.11	Measured diffusivity of CO ₂ and N ₂ O in water.	101
Table 3.12	Estimated diffusivity of CO ₂ in aqueous solution of PZ using N ₂ O analogy.	102
Table 3.13	Estimated diffusivity of CO ₂ in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O) solution using N ₂ O analogy.	103
Table 3.14	Estimated diffusivity of CO ₂ in (AMP+ PZ+H ₂ O) solution using N ₂ O analogy.	104
Table 3.15	Parameters and AADs for N ₂ O diffusivity correlations for the binary and ternary solvent systems.	105
Table 3.16	Test of validity of the modified Stokes–Einstein equation (equation (3.22)) for the diffusivity of N ₂ O, D _{N₂O} , in (PZ + H ₂ O).	106
Table 3.17	Test of validity of the modified Stokes –Einstein equation (equation (3.22)) for the diffusivity of N ₂ O, D _{N₂O} , in (MDEA + PZ + H ₂ O)	107
Table 3.18	Test of validity of the modified Stokes –Einstein equation (equation (3.23)) for the diffusivity of N ₂ O, D _{N₂O} , in (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	108
Table 4.1	Results of absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solution of PZ: effect of gas flow rate. [PZ] = 0.8 kmol m ⁻³ .	150
Table 4.2	Physicochemical properties of aqueous piperazine.	151
Table 4.3	Experimental and model predicted results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ in the wetted wall contactor: [PZ] = 0.2kmol.m ⁻³ .	152
Table 4.4	Experimental and model predicted results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ in the wetted wall contactor: [PZ] = 0.4 kmol.m ⁻³ .	153
Table 4.5	Experimental and model predicted results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ in the wetted wall contactor: [PZ] = 0.8 kmol.m ⁻³ .	154
Table 4.6	Correlations used to estimate the equilibrium constants.	155
Table 4.7	Experimental results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous PZ in the wetted wall contactor (at low partial pressure of CO ₂): [PZ] = 0.2 kmol.m ⁻³ .	156
Table 4.8	Reaction rate constant calculated with fast pseudo-first order assumption at low partial pressure of CO ₂ : [PZ] = 0.2 kmol.m ⁻³ .	157
Table 5.1	Density and viscosity of the aqueous (MDEA + PZ) solutions studied and the diffusivity and Henry's law constants of CO ₂ in these solutions.	211
Table 5.2	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (MDEA + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.238 kmol.m ⁻³ , [MDEA] = 2.409 kmol.m ⁻³ .	212
Table 5.3	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (MDEA + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.60 kmol.m ⁻³ , [MDEA] = 2.151 kmol.m ⁻³ .	213

Table 5.4	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (MDEA + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.95 kmol.m ⁻³ , [MDEA] = 1.889 kmol.m ⁻³ .	214
Table 5.5	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of 30 wt% MDEA in the wetted wall contactor.	215
Table 5.6	Density and viscosity of the aqueous (AMP + PZ) solutions studied and the diffusivity and Henry's law constants of CO ₂ in these solutions.	216
Table 5.7	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (AMP + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.23 kmol.m ⁻³ , [AMP] = 3.14 kmol.m ⁻³ .	217
Table 5.8	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (AMP + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.58 kmol.m ⁻³ , [AMP] = 2.81 kmol.m ⁻³ .	218
Table 5.9	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of (AMP + PZ) in the wetted wall contactor. [PZ] = 0.94 kmol.m ⁻³ , [AMP] = 2.49 kmol.m ⁻³ .	219
Table 5.10	Experimental data and model results for the absorption of CO ₂ into aqueous solutions of 30 wt% AMP in the wetted wall contactor.	220
Table 5.11	Results of vapour-liquid equilibrium measurements of CO ₂ – (AMP + PZ + H ₂ O).	220

NOMENCLATURE

A	gaseous species that is being absorbed into the liquid B in equation (2.34)
[A]	local concentration of A at any point in the liquid phase, kmol.m^{-3}
[A*]	concentration of dissolved gas A at gas-liquid interface, in equilibrium with gas at interface, kmol.m^{-3}
[A ₀]	concentration of A in bulk of liquid, kmol.m^{-3}
a	gas-liquid interfacial area per unit volume of liquid, m^{-1}
AMP	2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol
B	base in reaction (2.2); or dissolved reactive species present in the liquid phase (equation (2.34))
[B]	local concentration of B at any point in the liquid phase, kmol.m^{-3}
[B ₀]	concentration of species B in bulk of the liquid, kmol.m^{-3}
D	diffusivity, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _A	diffusivity of dissolved gas A in the liquid phase, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _B	diffusivity of reactant B, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{CO₂}	diffusivity of dissolved CO ₂ in the liquid phase, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{N₂O}	diffusivity of dissolved N ₂ O in the liquid phase, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _i	diffusivity of species i in the liquid phase, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{CO₂-water}	diffusivity of CO ₂ in water, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{CO₂-amine}	diffusivity of CO ₂ in amine solution, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{N₂O-amine}	diffusivity of N ₂ O in amine solution, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
D _{N₂O-water}	diffusivity of N ₂ O in water, $\text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$
d _c	diameter of the wetted wall contactor, m
DEA	diethanolamine
DIPA	di-2-propanolamine
E	enhancement factor defined by equation (2.36), dimensionless
E _{CO₂}	enhancement factor for absorption of CO ₂ , dimensionless
E _∞	enhancement factor for an infinitely fast reaction regime defined by equations (2.41) and (2.42)
f	vapour pressure of liquid, kPa
g	gravitational acceleration, m.s^{-2}
H	Henry's constant, $\text{kPa.m}^3.\text{kmol}^{-1}$
H _i	Henry's constant of species i, $\text{kPa.m}^3.\text{kmol}^{-1}$
H _{CO₂}	Henry's constant for CO ₂ , $\text{kPa.m}^3.\text{kmol}^{-1}$

H_{N_2O}	Henry's constant for N_2O , $kPa \cdot m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1}$
$H_{CO_2-water}$	Henry's constant of CO_2 in water, $kPa \cdot m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1}$
$H_{CO_2-amine}$	Henry's constant of CO_2 in amine solution $kPa \cdot m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1}$
$H_{N_2O-amine}$	Henry's constant of N_2O in amine solution, $kPa \cdot m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1}$
$H_{N_2O-water}$	Henry's constant of N_2O in water, $kPa \cdot m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1}$
h	absorption length, m
Ha	Hatta number defined by equation (2.38)
K_i	equilibrium constant of reaction i
k_i	rate coefficient of reaction i
k_{-i}	reverse rate coefficient of reaction i
k_{ij}	forward rate coefficient for reaction j, equations (4.10) – (4.12) and equations (5.1) – (5.6)
k_1	pseudo-first-order rate constant for reaction between A and B, s^{-1}
k_2	second order rate constant for reaction between A and B, $m^3 \cdot kmol^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$
k_b	rate coefficient for reaction (2.2)
k_g	gas phase mass transfer coefficient, $kmol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot kPa^{-1}$
k_L	liquid phase mass transfer coefficient, $m \cdot s^{-1}$
k_L'	liquid phase mass transfer coefficient in presence of chemical reaction, $m \cdot s^{-1}$
k_{mn}	rate constant for reaction, m^{th} order in A and n^{th} order in B, $(m^3/kmol)^{m+n-1} \cdot s^{-1}$
l	fractional liquid volume holdup of B phase, dimensionless
MEA	monoethanolamine
MDEA	N-methyldiethanolamine
m	order of reaction with respect to species A
n	order of reaction with respect to species B
N_{Re}	liquid film Reynolds number
P	total pressure, kPa
p_A	partial pressure of species A, kPa
p_{CO_2}	partial pressure of CO_2 , kPa
PZ	piperazine
PZCOO ⁻	piperazine monocarbamate
PZ(COO ⁻) ₂	piperazine dicarbamate
Q	quantity of gas absorbed by unit area in time of contact θ , $kmol \cdot m^{-2}$
q	total rate of absorption, $kmol \cdot s^{-1}$
R_A	specific rate of absorption of species A, $kmol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$
R_{CO_2}	specific rate of absorption of CO_2 , $kmol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$

r_{CO_2}	rate of reaction defined by equation (2.4)
S_1, S_2	coefficients in equation (3.13)
s	fractional rate of surface-renewal, s^{-1}
T	temperature, K
t	time, of the order of contact, s
TEA	Triethanolamine
u	velocity of the liquid element at any depth from surface, $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
u_s	velocity at surface, $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
u_i^0	concentration of species i in the liquid phase, $\text{kmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
u_i^*	initial liquid bulk concentration of species i , $\text{kmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
u	interfacial concentration of CO_2 in the liquid, $\text{kmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
V_G	volumetric gas flow rate, $\text{m}^3\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
V_L	volumetric liquid flow rate, $\text{m}^3\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
v_L	volume of clear liquid, m^3
w	film thickness, m
w_{PZ}	mass fraction of PZ in solution
w_{MDEA}	mass fraction of MDEA in solution
w_{AMP}	mass fraction of AMP in solution
x	independent spatial variable, m
x_i	mole fraction of species i in equation (4.5)
α	parameter defined by equations (3.19) and (3.25)
α_1	initial CO_2 loading of the solution in equation (4.18), $\text{kmol CO}_2/\text{kmol total amine}$
δ	thickness of diffusion film, m
ϕ_L	fractional liquid volume holdup of B-phase, dimensionless
η	viscosity of the mixture in equation (3.14), $\text{mPa}\cdot\text{s}$
λ	reaction plane location measured from the interface, m
μ	viscosity of liquid, $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ or $\text{mPa}\cdot\text{s}$
θ	contact time, s
ρ	density of the liquid, $\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
ν	stoichiometric coefficient in equation (2.34)

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION TO GAS TREATING

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The removal of acid gas impurities such as carbon dioxide (CO_2), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), and carbonyl sulfide (COS) from industrial gases is an essential step in processing sour natural gas, refinery off-gases, and synthesis gas for ammonia production. The removal of acid gases from gas streams, commonly referred to as acid gas treating and also as gas sweetening, is a technology that has been in use for several years in natural gas processing units, refineries, petrochemicals, and fertilizer industries. In 1930 Bottoms (Bottoms, 1930) presented a process to remove CO_2 using alkanolamines.

CO_2 and H_2S concentrations in the sour natural gas streams may vary widely, from several parts per million to 50% by volume of the gas streams. These impurities when present in the gas streams may lead to very serious problems in pipeline transportation and downstream processing of the gas. CO_2 present in natural gas will reduce the heating value of the gas and may cause corrosion in pipelines and equipment in presence of moisture. Moreover, energy is wasted in compressing this extra volume for pipeline transportation of natural gas. The CO_2 specification in the treated natural gas may be about $< 1\%$ by volume for pipeline gas, but for natural gas liquefaction plants CO_2 in the treated natural gas must be below 100 ppm to avoid freezing in the cryogenic equipment. The CO_2 specification is < 10 ppm by volume for treated syngas for ammonia manufacture to protect ammonia synthesis catalyst (Astarita *et al.*, 1983). Bio-generated methane from the decomposition of organic material often contains large amounts of CO_2 , which must be removed in order to improve its heating value (Ashare, 1981; Zimmerman *et al.*, 1985). The cleanup target for H_2S is particularly stringent, e.g., 4 ppm by volume for pipeline gas, 0.1 ppm for ammonia synthesis and in petrochemical plants (Astarita *et al.*, 1983). In refinery operations, H_2S must be