

CONTENTS

	Page No.
Title Page	i
Certificate of Approval	ii
Certificate by the Supervisor	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Declaration	v
List of Symbols and Abbreviations	vi
Abstract	ix
Contents	x
List of Tables	xv
List of Figures	xvii

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Objectives	2

Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	3
2.2	Advantages of electrodeposition technique	3
2.3	Advantages of pulse-electrodeposition	3
2.4	Mechanisms of electrodeposition	5
	2.4.1 <i>Mechanism of electrodeposition of nickel</i>	6
2.5	Mechanisms of electrochemical codeposition	7
2.6	Pulse electrodeposition parameters	9
	2.6.1 <i>Surfactants</i>	9

2.6.2	<i>Additives</i>	11
2.6.3	<i>pH</i>	12
2.6.4	<i>Current Density</i>	12
2.6.5	<i>Temperature</i>	13
2.6.6	<i>Duty cycles</i>	13
2.6.7	<i>Electrolyte Agitation</i>	16
2.7	Hardness of nanocomposite coatings	17
2.8	Thermal Stability of nanocomposite coatings	18
2.9	Tribological property of nanocomposite coatings	21
2.10	Corrosion Property of nanocomposite coatings	23
2.11	Electrical Property of nanocomposite coatings	26

Chapter 3 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Synthesis of ceria powders by high energy ball milling (HEBM)	27
3.3	Particle size analyzer	28
3.4	Pulsed co-electrodeposition	28
3.5	Microstructural characterization	30
3.5.1	XRD	30
3.5.2	Electron microscopy	30
3.6	Microhardness measurement	31
3.7	Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	31
3.8	A surface profiler	31
3.9	Adhesive wear	32
3.10	Scratch test	33
3.11	Electrochemical corrosion	34

3.12	Electrical resistivity	35
------	------------------------	----

Chapter 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Synthesis and characterization of the ceria powders

4.1.1	Particle size distribution	36
4.1.2	XRD	37
4.1.3	Crystallite size, lattice strain and lattice parameters	38
4.1.3.1	<i>Modified Rietveld method</i>	38
4.1.3.2	Classical Williamson-Hall method	38
4.1.3.3	<i>Modified Williamson-Hall method</i>	41
4.1.4	Microstructure	46
4.1.5	Summary	47

4.2 Effect of the pulse electrodeposition parameters on the co-electrodeposition of the nano-sized CeO₂ particle in the Ni matrix

4.2.1	Effect of amount of surfactant	48
4.2.1.1	XRD	48
4.2.1.2	Microstructure	52
4.2.1.3	Microhardness	54
4.2.1.4	Summary	55
4.2.2	Effect of amount of additive	
4.2.2.1	XRD	56
4.2.2.2	Microstructure	61
4.2.2.3	Microhardness	65
4.2.2.4	Summary	66

4.2.3	Effect of current density	
4.2.3.1	Wave signal	67
4.2.3.2	XRD	68
4.2.3.3	Microstructure	69
4.2.3.4	Microhardness	74
4.2.3.5	Summary	75
4.2.4	Effect of bath temperature	
4.2.4.1	XRD	76
4.2.4.2	FESEM	78
4.2.4.3	TEM	78
4.2.4.4	Microhardness	80
4.2.4.5	Summary	80
4.2.5	Effect of duty cycle	
4.2.5.1	Wave signal	81
4.2.5.2	Sample thickness	82
4.2.5.3	XRD	82
4.2.5.4	Microstructure	85
4.2.5.5	Microhardness	88
4.2.5.6	Summary	89
4.2.6	Effect of stirring rate	
4.2.6.1	XRD and Microscopy	90
4.2.6.2	Microhardness	92
4.2.6.3	Summary	93
4.3	Synthesis and microstructural characterization of Ni-CeO₂ nanocomposite coatings	
4.3.1	XRD	94

4.3.2	Microstructure	96
4.3.3	Summary	99
4.4	The investigation of different properties of Ni-CeO₂ nanocomposite coatings	
4.4.1	Microhardness	100
4.4.2	Thermal stability	103
4.4.3	Tribology	
4.4.3.1	Surface roughness and hardness	109
4.4.3.2	Selection of maximum load	111
4.4.3.3	Wear penetration depth	111
4.4.3.4	Volume loss and wear rate	113
4.4.3.5	Coefficient of friction	116
4.4.3.6	SEM of the wear tracks	119
4.4.3.7	Scratch test of coatings	121
	4.4.3.7.1 <i>Nanocrystalline Ni coating</i>	121
	4.4.3.7.2 <i>Ni-CeO₂ nanocomposite coating</i>	122
	4.4.3.7.3 <i>SEM</i>	124
4.4.4	Corrosion	125
4.4.5	Electrical resistivity	128
Chapter 5	CONCLUSIONS	130
	REFERENCES	134
	CURRICULUM VITAE	157