

## CONTENTS

Declaration	iii
Certificate	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Contents	vi
List of symbols, abbreviations and technical names	xiii
Abstract	xvii
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction and Objectives</b>	<b>1–19</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Conventional oil hydrolysis processes	1
1.2.1 Base catalyzed oil hydrolysis	2
1.2.2 High temperature steam process for oil hydrolysis	2
1.2.3 Colgate-Emery steam splitting process	2
1.2.4 Twitchell process	3
1.2.5 Some conventional processes for castor oil hydrolysis to ricinoleic acid	3
1.2.5.1 Hydrolysis with Twitchell reagent	3
1.2.5.2 High pressure splitting	4
1.2.5.3 Cold saponification	4
1.2.6 Some conventional processes for oil hydrolysis yielding erucic acid	4
1.2.6.1 Alkaline saponification followed by acidification	5
1.2.6.2 Colgate-Emery steam splitting process followed by fractional Distillation	5
1.2.6.3 Crystallization	5

1.3.1	Advantages of biocatalysis over conventional catalysis	7
1.3.2	Similarities of biocatalysts with conventional catalysts	7
1.4	Lipase	8
1.4.1	Advantages of lipase	10
1.4.2	Applications of lipase	11
1.5	Limitations of earlier study	12
1.6	Limitations of lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of vegetable oil	12
1.7	Processes to overcome these limitations	13
1.7.1	Immobilization	13
1.7.2	Addition of metal ions	14
1.7.3	Additives and organic solvents	14
1.7.4	Reverse micelles	15
1.7.5	Systematic optimization of process variables	16
1.7.6	Use of surfactants to form micelles or emulsions	16
1.8	Aims/Objectives of the current research	17
1.8.1	Non-Regioselective lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of castor oil to ricinoleic acid	17
1.8.2	Regioselective lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of mustard oil to erucic acid	18
1.8.3	Kinetics study	18
1.9	Organization of the thesis	18
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Lipase Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Castor oil to Ricinoleic Acid</b>	<b>21–52</b>
2.1	Introduction	21
2.2	Industrial applications of ricinoleic acid	21
2.3	Sources of ricinoleic acid	23–24
2.3.1	Potential of castor oil	24
2.3.2	Characteristics of castor oil	24
2.4	Literature review on lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of castor oil	24–28
2.4.1	Hydrolysis by plant lipases	25
2.4.2	Hydrolysis by microbial lipases	26
2.4.2.1	Hydrolysis by fungal lipases	26

2.4.2.2	Hydrolysis by bacterial lipases	27
2.4.3	Hydrolysis by animal lipases	27
2.4.4	Comparisons among hydrolysis by different types of lipases	28
2.5	<i>Candida rugosa</i> lipase	28–30
2.6	Scope for future work	30
2.7	Single variable optimization method	30–39
2.7.1	Materials	30
2.7.2	Experimental set up	31
2.7.3	Procedure	32
2.7.4	Analysis of ricinoleic acid by HPLC	32
2.7.5	Results and discussion	33
2.7.5.1	Effect of speed of agitation	33
2.7.5.2	Effect of pH	34
2.7.5.3	Effect of temperature	35
2.7.5.4	Effect of buffer concentration	37
2.7.5.5	Effect of enzyme concentration	39
2.8	Application of response surface methodology	39–52
2.8.1	Materials	40
2.8.2	Experimental set up	41
2.8.3	Procedure	41
2.8.4	Analysis of ricinoleic acid by HPLC	41
2.8.5	Experimental design, analysis and optimization by response surface methodology	42
2.8.6	Results and discussion	43
2.9	Conclusions	52
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Surfactant Enhanced Lipase Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Castor oil to Ricinoleic Acid</b>	<b>53–79</b>
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Literature review on enhancement of lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of castor oil	53–59

3.2.1	Effects of immobilization	53
3.2.2	Effects of metal ions	54
3.2.2.1	Effects of metal salts on hydrolysis by plant lipases	54
3.2.2.2	Effects of metal salts on hydrolysis by microbial lipases	55
3.2.2.2.1	Effects in case of fungal lipases	55
3.2.2.2.2	Effects in case of bacterial lipases	55
3.2.3	Effects of additives and organic solvents	57
3.2.4	Effects of surfactants	58
3.3	Role of surfactants in lipase catalyzed oil hydrolysis	59
3.4	Application of response surface methodology	61–78
3.4.1	Materials	61
3.4.2	Experimental set up	62
3.4.3	Procedure	62
3.4.4	Analysis of ricinoleic acid by HPLC	62
3.4.5	Experimental design	62
3.4.5.1	Experimental design by fractional factorial method	62
3.4.5.2	Experimental design, analysis and optimization by full factorial central composite design	63
3.4.6	Results and discussion	64
3.4.6.1	Effect of surfactant and its selection	64
3.4.6.2	Screening of variables by fractional factorial design	66
3.4.6.3	Results of central composite design	69
3.5	Conclusions	78
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Lipase Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Mustard oil to Erucic Acid</b>	<b>81–107</b>
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Industrial applications of erucic acid	81
4.3	Sources of erucic acid	82–84
4.3.1	Potential of mustard oil	83
4.3.2	Characteristics of mustard oil	84

4.4	Literature review on lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of mustard oil	84–87
4.4.1	Hydrolysis by non-regioselective lipases	84
4.4.2	Hydrolysis by regioselective lipases	86
4.4.3	Hydrolysis by non-commercial lipases	87
4.5	Porcine pancreas lipase	87
4.6	Scope for future work	89
4.7	Single variable optimization method	90–96
4.7.1	Materials	90
4.7.2	Experimental set up	90
4.7.3	Procedure	90
4.7.4	Analysis of erucic acid	91
4.7.4.1	Preparation of methyl erucate	91
4.7.4.2	Capillary gas chromatography	91
4.7.5	Results and discussion	91
4.7.5.1	Effect of speed of agitation	92
4.7.5.2	Effect of pH	92
4.7.5.3	Effect of temperature	93
4.7.5.4	Effect of buffer concentration	94
4.7.5.5	Effect of enzyme concentration	95
4.8	Application of response surface methodology	96–105
4.8.1	Materials	96
4.8.2	Experimental set up	96
4.8.3	Procedure	97
4.8.4	Analysis of erucic acid	97
4.8.5	Experimental design, analysis and optimization by response surface methodology	97
4.8.6	Results and Discussion	98
4.9	Conclusions	106

<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Lipase Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Mustard Oil to Erucic Acid Enhanced by Mixed Surfactants</b>	109–130
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Literature review on enhancement of lipase catalyzed hydrolysis of mustard oil	109
5.2.1	Effect of microwaves	109
5.2.2	Effect of surfactants	109
5.3	Role of surfactants in oil hydrolysis catalyzed by porcine pancreas lipase	110
5.4	Application of response surface methodology	111–128
5.4.1	Materials	111
5.4.2	Experimental set up	111
5.4.3	Procedure	111
5.4.4	Analysis of erucic acid	112
5.4.5	Experimental design	112
5.4.5.1	Experimental design by fractional factorial design method	112
5.4.5.2	Path of steepest ascent method	113
5.4.5.3	Experimental design, analysis and optimization by full factorial central composite design	113
5.4.6	Results and discussion	114
5.4.6.1	Effect of surfactant(s) and selection	114
5.4.6.2	Screening of variables by fractional factorial design	117
5.4.6.3	Path of steepest ascent	119
5.4.6.4	Results of central composite design	120
5.5	Conclusions	128
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Kinetics Study of Surfactant Enhanced Hydrolysis of Castor Oil and Mustard Oil</b>	131–146
6.1	Introduction	131
6.2	Theory	131
6.2.1	Rate Model	135
6.3	Materials	138

6.4	Experimental Set Up	138
6.5	Procedure	138
6.6	Analysis	139
6.7	Results and Discussion	139–144
6.7.1	Hydrolysis of castor oil using <i>Candida rugosa</i> lipase	139
6.7.2	Hydrolysis of mustard oil using porcine pancreas lipase	142
6.8	Conclusions	145
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Overall Conclusions</b>	147–150
<b>References</b>		161–166
<b>Appendix: Calculation of Cost of production</b>		167–170
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>		171–173