

# Contents

<b>List of Symbols/Abbreviation</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Motivation . . . . .	4
1.3 Objectives . . . . .	6
1.4 Contributions of this Work . . . . .	7
1.4.1 Sticky-ERfair: A Task-Processor Affinity Aware Scheduler	8
1.4.2 Partition-Oriented ERfair Scheduler (POES) . . . . .	8
1.4.3 Partition Oriented Frame Based Fair Scheduler (POFBFS)	9
1.4.4 ERfair Scheduling with Processor Shutdown (ESSM) . .	10
1.4.5 Safe-ERfair: ERfair with a priori Overload Detection and Avoidance . . . . .	11
1.5 Organization of the Thesis . . . . .	12
<b>2 Real Time and Rate Based Scheduling – Background, Flavours, Trends</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2 A Background on Scheduling . . . . .	16
2.3 An Overview of Real-Time Systems . . . . .	18
2.4 Real-time Scheduling . . . . .	20
2.4.1 A Classification of Real-time Scheduling Approaches .	20
2.4.2 Partitioning Approaches . . . . .	23
2.4.3 Traditional Real-time Scheduling Approaches . . . . .	24
2.4.4 Deficiencies With The Traditional Approaches . . . . .	26
2.4.5 Improving System Utilization in Multiprocessor Systems	27
2.5 Rate-based Resource Allocation . . . . .	30
2.5.1 Server-based Allocation . . . . .	31
2.5.2 Liu and Layland Style Allocation . . . . .	32
2.5.3 Fluid-flow Allocation (Proportional Share Scheduling) .	32
2.5.4 A Taxonomy of Fluid-flow Allocation Methods . . . . .	33
2.5.5 Proportional Fair Scheduling: Practical Overheads . . .	38
2.5.6 Proportional Fair Scheduling: Alleviating Overheads . .	39
2.6 Emerging Real-time Scheduling Trends . . . . .	40
2.6.1 Energy Aware Real-time Scheduling . . . . .	40

2.6.2	Overload Handling in Real-time Systems . . . . .	41
2.6.3	Fault Tolerant Real-time Scheduling . . . . .	42
2.6.4	Real-time Scheduling on Multicore Platforms . . . . .	44
2.7	Conclusions . . . . .	45
<b>3</b>	<b>The Sticky-ERfair Scheduler</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	47
3.2	Terminology . . . . .	49
3.3	The Sticky-ERfair Algorithm . . . . .	51
3.4	Analysis of The Algorithm . . . . .	53
3.5	Experiments and Results . . . . .	58
3.5.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	58
3.5.2	Migration Measurement Results . . . . .	59
3.5.3	Preemption Measurement Results . . . . .	63
3.5.4	<i>Sticky-ERfair</i> Vs. <i>Basic-ERfair</i> – Time Gain: . . . . .	66
3.6	Conclusions . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Partition-Oriented ERfair Scheduling</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
4.2	POES – Algorithm Overview . . . . .	70
4.3	POES – Detailed Algorithm . . . . .	71
4.4	Scheduling Complexity . . . . .	76
4.5	Experiments and Results . . . . .	77
4.5.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	77
4.5.2	Results Obtained . . . . .	78
4.6	Conclusions . . . . .	81
<b>5</b>	<b>Partition Oriented Frame Based Fair Scheduler</b>	<b>83</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	83
5.2	Terminology . . . . .	84
5.3	The POFBFS Algorithm . . . . .	86
5.3.1	Detailed Algorithm . . . . .	88
5.4	Analysis of the Algorithm . . . . .	94
5.5	Experiments and Results . . . . .	99
5.5.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	99
5.5.2	Results . . . . .	100
5.5.2.1	Migration Measurements . . . . .	100
5.5.2.2	Fairness Measurements . . . . .	105
5.5.3	Performance Under Dynamic Workloads . . . . .	105
5.5.4	Discussion . . . . .	107
5.5.4.1	<i>POFBFS</i> Vs. <i>Basic-ERfair</i> – The Speed-up . . . . .	109
5.5.4.2	<i>POFBFS</i> Vs. <i>Basic-ERfair</i> – Real-time Fair- ness Accuracy . . . . .	110
5.5.4.3	Choice of Frame-size $G$ . . . . .	111
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	112

<b>6</b>	<b>ERfair Scheduler with Processor Shutdown</b>	<b>113</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	113
6.2	Energy Consumption Model . . . . .	114
6.3	Terminology . . . . .	114
6.4	The ESSM Algorithm . . . . .	116
6.4.1	Calculation of Effective Weights of Tasks . . . . .	117
6.4.2	Slack . . . . .	118
6.4.3	Processor and Task States . . . . .	119
6.4.4	Overview of Shutdown Strategy . . . . .	120
6.4.5	Viability of Processor Shutdown . . . . .	123
6.4.6	ESSM: Algorithm Overview . . . . .	126
6.4.7	Data Structures . . . . .	127
6.4.8	Detailed Algorithm . . . . .	128
6.4.9	Scheduling Complexity . . . . .	129
6.4.10	Single Processor Systems: A Special Case . . . . .	134
6.5	Experiments and Results . . . . .	135
6.5.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	135
6.5.2	Results . . . . .	138
6.5.3	Discussion . . . . .	138
6.5.3.1	A Measure of Actual Energy Savings . . . . .	141
6.6	Conclusions . . . . .	142
<b>7</b>	<b>The Safe-ERfair Scheduler</b>	<b>143</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	143
7.2	Problem Statement . . . . .	144
7.3	The Safe-ERfair Algorithm . . . . .	145
7.3.1	Effective Task Weights . . . . .	146
7.3.2	Working Principle . . . . .	146
7.3.3	Detailed Algorithm . . . . .	147
7.4	Scheduling Complexity . . . . .	147
7.5	Experiments and Results . . . . .	151
7.5.1	Data Set I . . . . .	151
7.5.2	Data Set II . . . . .	152
7.5.3	Data Set III . . . . .	153
7.5.4	Discussion . . . . .	153
7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	154
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>155</b>
8.1	Summarization . . . . .	155
8.2	Work in Progress . . . . .	157
8.2.1	O(1) Frame-Based Fair Multiprocessor Scheduling . . . . .	158
8.2.2	Co-runner Aware Multicore Boundary-Fair Scheduler . . . . .	159
8.3	Scope for Future Research . . . . .	160
8.3.1	Checkpoint Based Fault Tolerance in Fair Schedulers . . . . .	161
8.3.2	Combined Scheduling of Periodic and Aperiodic Tasks (CSPAT) . . . . .	161

---

<b>A Data Generation Framework</b>	<b>163</b>
A.1 Introduction . . . . .	163
A.2 The Input Parameters . . . . .	163
A.3 The Data Generation Algorithm . . . . .	164
A.3.1 A Sample Data Set . . . . .	164
<b>References</b>	<b>169</b>

Copyright  
IIT Kharagpur